

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,189	06/30/2003	Michael D. Bowman	03-0431 (BOE 0406 PA)	03-0431 (BOE 0406 PA) 1188	
27256 7	590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH RD.			PARRIES, DRU M		
SUITE 250	KAITI KD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			2836		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1
_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary		10/604,189	BOWMAN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dru M. Parries	2836	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	:s
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2005.		
•		action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		rits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	, ,	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		• •
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior captured the certified copies of the prior captured the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents are captured to the certified copies of the ce	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D)

Application/Control Number: 10/604,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, filed December 16, 2005, with respect to the objection of claims 12-19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claims 12-19 has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed December 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner points out that Soucy's invention teaches a power management system for an aircraft. Also, Lacy teaches primary loads (18) that are always powered by the fuel cell system, and secondary loads (16), which are managed by the controller. Also, Lacy does determine the combined power demand of the controlled loads ("the controller...to determine the power consumed by the residential loads" - Abstract) and a power limit set on the controlled loads as a group ("provide power up to a first threshold that is less than a maximum power threshold that is capable of being consumed by the residential loads" – Abstract). The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's take that Lacy is nonanalogous art. Both inventions, Lacy and Soucy, are regarding how to manage the output power of a supply to loads (load power management), and the modification used from Lacy to be put into Soucy is how Lacy goes about managing load power to secondary loads. Therefore, in contrast to Applicant's argument, the function of Lacy that is being modified into Soucy is not clearly different (the modification being, how the distribution of power to the loads is being managed). The Examiner agrees with the Applicant's statement that limitations from the specification should not be read into the claims, therefore they won't be. The Examiner suggests that if a limitation from the

Application/Control Number: 10/604,189 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

specification should be in the claim, that the Applicant add that limitation (in writing) to the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soucy (6,476,510) and Lacy (6,510,369). Soucy teaches a power management system for an aircraft. He teaches plurality of secondary loads (direct - generator, indirect - load, Fig. 1), at least one flight condition sensor (engine speed sensor), and a controller (fuel supply controller & governor) coupled to the plurality of loads and the sensor. Soucy doesn't explicitly teach how the controller will control the system to work efficiently. Lacy teaches a system with a controller and primary (uncontrolled residential) and secondary (controlled residential) loads. Lacy teaches a controller that can determine the secondary power extraction, current operating conditions and secondary power extraction limit and can operate the plurality of secondary loads in response to the secondary power extraction and limits. (Abstract, lines 7-12) The controller, while determining current operating conditions determines the primary power extraction (power output to uncontrolled residential loads). Lacy also teaches the controller operating the secondary loads in priority (Col. 5, lines 56-64). He also teaches the controller limiting the operation the secondary loads when the power extraction is greater than the limit (Col. 4, lines 1-14). (Col. 2, lines 59-67; Col. 3, lines 28-36; Col. 4, lines 32-44) It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Lacy's method of power distribution into Soucy's invention so that the engine can supply power to as many loads as possible in the safest possible way, and to make sure that the engine never exceeds its output capabilities which may lead to malfunction.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,189 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

1-23-2006

ROBERT L. DEBERADINIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER